

Michael O. Leavitt Governor Kathleen Clarke Executive Director Lowell P. Braxton Division Director 1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax) 801-538-7223 (TDD)

January 9, 2001

TO:

Internal File

THRU:

Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM:

Gregg A. Galecki, Reclamation Specialist 🗡

RE:

2000 Third Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, Banning Loadout,

COOLING TO THE TEN

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?

YES[X] NO[]

Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known:

The annual Truck Dump Sump sample was collected.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year re-sampling of baseline water data.

See Technical Directive 004 for baseline re-sampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline re-submittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Renewal submittal due 6/24/03, renewal due 10/24/03. No commitment to re-sample for baseline parameters preceding re-permitting has been found in the MRP .

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site?

YES [x] NO []

Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

Reviewed the only sample collected; the Truck Dump Sump. Analysis which was conducted but not addressed in the MRP include Dissolved Zinc, Total Alkalinity, and Cation-Anion balance.

| 4. | Were irregularities found in the data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site: | YES [x | :] | NO [|] |
|--|--|------------------------|----------|------|------|
| A limited amount of data was available for analysis, but a high Field Specific Conductivity was collected. The TDS/SO4 ratio appears relatively stable while the Specific Conductivity is at a historic high. Will discuss with the operator in an upcoming joint inspector/hydro field visit. | | | | | |
| 5. | Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites? | | | | |
| | | 1 st month, | YES | S[X] | NO[|
| | | 2 nd month, | YES | S[X] | NO[|
| | Identify sites and months not monitored: | 3 rd month, | YES | S[X] | NO [|
| 6. | Were all required DMR parameters reported? Comments, including identity of monitoring site: | YES [> | [X] NO[] | | |
| | No discharge. | | | | |
| 7. | Were irregularities found in the DMR data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site: | YES [|] | NO[] | X] |
| 8. | Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend? | | | | |
| | No action necessary. | | | | |

O:\007034.BAN\Water Quality\WQ_00-3.doc